

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,838	02/11/2004	Scott McIntosh	04790.002	3031	
7	590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER		
Andrew R. Basile			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
Young & Basil			<u> </u>		
3001 West Big	Beaver		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STE 624			3724		
Troy, MI 480	084-3107		DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				C
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·		10/776,838	MCLNTOSH, SCOTT	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	,
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat TO (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or exion Papers	vn from consideration.		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Theorem 1.	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a blade break assembly, classified in class 83, subclass 58.
 - II. Claims 16-25, drawn to a handle assembly extending from a frame intermediate first and second ends and opposite a throat, classified in class 83, subclass 651.
 - III. Claims 26-33, drawn to a battery, classified in class 30, subclass 380.
 - IV. Claims 34-50, drawn to method of cutting a workpiece having the step of depressing a trigger in the handle, classified in class 83, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Groups I-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. For example, the invention of Group I could be used without the battery of Group III, conversely, the invention of Group III could be used without the blade break assembly of Group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions of Groups I-III and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Art Unit: 3724

product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). For example, the hand-held power saw of Group I can be used without the step of depressing a trigger in the handle, such as knob directly connected to the motor.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

8/7/06